

Report Author: Nicola Carney

Tel: 0113 3787566

Report to the Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation)

Date: 12 February 2019

Subject: Annual Review of Fees and Charges for Various Highway Functions and Services

Are specific electoral Wards affected?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
If relevant, name(s) of Ward(s):		
Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and integration?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Is the decision eligible for Call-In?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
If relevant, Access to Information Procedure Rule number:		
Appendix number:		

Summary of main issues

1. In support of the 'Best Council Plan' ambition of becoming an efficient and enterprising organisation, the purpose of this report is to seek approval for the proposed level of fees and charges for services delivered by Highways and Transportation. The fees and charges relate to the issue of licenses, permits, the processing of temporary traffic regulation orders and the payment of commuted sums for developer funded highway schemes from 1 April 2019.

Recommendations

2. The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) is requested to approve the increase in fees and charges as set out in Appendices A; B and C to this report

1 Purpose of this report

1.1 The purpose of this report is to seek approval for the revised level of charges to be applied from 1 April 2019 and until further notice.

2 Background information

2.1 The Local Authority (Transport Charges) Regulations 1998 gave the Authority the power to charge for specified functions. The regulations addressed the view that persons benefiting by the issue of licenses, permits etc. should pay the cost of the service, rather than this being borne by the community at large via the Council Tax.

- 2.2 Charges for the services shown in Appendix A have been introduced from 1999 onwards and have been reviewed at periodic intervals and more recently on an annual basis.
- 2.3 The charges have generally increased in line with income inflation and corporate guidelines recommended in the budget circular, unless exceptional circumstances have dictated otherwise. The underlying principle being that the charges reflect no more than the true cost to the authority in delivering the service.
- 2.4 On the 12th June 2012 the Leeds City Council Permit Scheme Order came into force. This order enabled the introduction of a permit scheme for road works in Leeds. The permit scheme allows for fees to be charged to utility companies for checking and approving their permit applications. A separate protocol for reviewing the permit fees has been specified and therefore they are not included in this report.
- 2.5 S278 of the Highways Act 1980 allows for highway authorities to charge for maintenance of works funded by others. In 2012 the policy on charging for additional and enhanced highway infrastructure was agreed. The updated schedule for commuted sum charges for 2019/20 is attached at Appendix B and the fees involved in S278 agreements are given in Appendix C.
- 2.6 In July 2018 a report was approved by the Chief Officer (Planning and Sustainable Development) to increase the Travel Plan Review Fees charged as part of the Travel Plans Supplementary Planning Document. This approval also allowed for annual fee increases in line with the rate of inflation for construction on 1 April each year. To ensure annual fees are recorded the fees for Travel Plan Review Fees will rise by 3% in line with other charges and are included in Appendix A.

3 Main issues

- 3.1 The proposed increases in charges to commence on 1 April 2019 are shown in Appendices A and B.
- The increase is a direct result of applying general income inflation at 3%, to the 2018/2019 charges in line with corporate guidelines as recommended in the Initial Budget Proposals for 2019/20 Executive Board Report and rounded to the nearest ten pence.
- 3.3 The commuted sum schedule in Appendix B has had rates rise by 3% in line with general income inflation. The fees for S278 agreements have remained the same since 2016.
- 3.4 Traffic Engineering have confirmed that the charge for a repeat, small scale, local event is a fee of £100 and that this is not subject to the annual percentage uplift.
- 3.5 The fee increases support the delivery of the Best Council Plan's ambition of becoming an efficient and enterprising organisation. An examination of the services supplied has been undertaken to ensure that all chargeable services are included and that the actual allowable costs involved are recovered. We have

amended processes to become more efficient to ensure cost increases are at the lowest level and remain in line with general income inflation, which is seen as sustainable and inclusive for local businesses.

4 Corporate Considerations

4.1 Consultation and Engagement

4.1.1 Internal consultation with City Development Finance team, Legal Services and those responsible for the chargeable services only. There were no objections to the content of this report.

4.2 Equality and Diversity / Cohesion and Integration

- 4.2.1 An Equality Diversity Cohesion and Integration screening has previously been undertaken and the process determined that no EDCI Impact Assessment was required.
- 4.2.2 The screening document identified that there will be no specific impact on people with mobility issues, carers [pram and wheelchair users], young and old people as the charges are minimal and mainly impact on business providers

4.3 Council policies and City Priorities

4.3.1 The proposal reflects Council Policy of an inflationary increase in income charges of 3%, in line with corporate guidelines as recommend in the Initial Budget Proposals for 2019/2020 Executive Board report. The fee increase supports the delivery of the Best Council Plan's objective of promoting sustainable and inclusive growth as it is seen as sustainable and inclusive for local businesses and partners operating in the Leeds area.

4.4 Resources and value for money

4.4.1 The services can be delivered within current staff resources.

4.4.2 Capital Funding and Cash Flow

Revenue Effects

The income from fees and charges support the current revenue budget allocation.

4.5 Legal Implications, Access to Information and Call In

4.5.1 The report is not eligible for call-in as it falls below the relevant thresholds.

4.6 Risk Management

4.6.1 Failure to implement the recommended charge increases will result in an under funding of the service.

5 Conclusions

5.1 The annual review of fees and charges is in accordance with good financial and resource practice within the authority. The recommended increases reflect the true cost of delivering the services

6 Recommendations

6.1 The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) is requested to approve the increase in fees and charges as recommended in Appendices A; B and C to this report.

7 Background documents ¹¹

- 7.1 Appendix A List of proposed fees and charges
- 7.2 Appendix B Schedule of commuted sum charges
- 7.3 Appendix C Fees for S278 agreements
- 7.4 Equality Diversity Cohesion and Integration Screening 2014

¹ The background documents listed in this section are available to download from the Council's website, unless they contain confidential or exempt information. The list of background documents does not include published works.

Highway & Transportation Fees and Charges Review 2019/20 Appendix A Proposed Fees and Charges from 1 April 2019

Activity	Charge 2018/2019	Proposed Charge 2019/20	Notes
Skip permit	£23.80	£24.50	
Skip permit (email application)	£21.60	£22.30	
Skip permit (retrospective application)	£81.20	£83.60	
Highways Act Licences	£212.20	£218.60	Includes; Cultivation, Banners, Oversail, Projections, Structures
Notice posting (per site)	£65.00	£67.00	Applies to some structures licences
Highways Act Consent / Approval	£90.90	£93.60	Includes; Building materials, mobile cranes
Highways Act Consent / Approval (retrospective application)	£155.90	£160.60	
Hoarding licence (per street)	£155.90	£160.60	Licence plus first inspection fee - £93.60 + £67.00
Hoarding monthly inspection (per site)	£65.00	£67.00	
Hoarding licence (per street) (retrospective application)	£216.40	£222.90	
Scaffolding permit (per street)	£155.90	£160.60	Licence plus first inspection fee - £93.60+ £67.00
Scaffolding monthly inspection	£65.00	£67.00	
Scaffolding permit (per street) (retrospective application)	£216.40	£222.90	
Ironworks (repair following failure of utility to respond to S81 notice)	£408.30	£420.60	Minimum charge (charged at actual cost if higher).
NRSWA S50 street works licence including sewer connections	£389.50	£401.20	
NRSWA S50 Annual Renewal	£66.10	£68.10	
Making an excavation in the highway – Highways Act S171	£243.60	£250.90	
Temporary Traffic Management	£161.10	£165.90	
Temporary Traffic Management monthly inspection (per site)	£65.00	£67.00	
Vehicle crossing application – initial inspection and assessment	£23.20	£23.90	
Vehicle crossing application – processing of full application	£105.10	£108.30	
Temporary Traffic Regulation Order (TTRO)(one street)	£584.40	£601.90	
Extra over TTRO for each additional street	£32.40	£33.40	

Temporary Traffic Regulation Notice (TTRN) (one street)	£535.00	£551.10	
Extra over TTRN for each additional street	£32.40	£33.40	
TTRO/N extension	£126.60	£130.40	
	£535.00	£551.10	
Events and Processions	Major	Major	
on/affecting the highway legislated	events and	events and	
by either; Temporary Traffic	procession	procession	
Regulation Notice (TTRN), Special	will be	will be	
Events Act or Town Police Clauses	charged on	charged on	
Act	an [agreed]	an [agreed]	
	time basis	time basis	
As above but existing event with no changes to previous arrangements	£105.10	£100.00	
Street Café licence (outside of city centre)	£426.40	£439.20	
Street Café licence – renewal (outside of city centre)	£142.80	£147.10	
Travel Plan Review Fees	£3000 to £24,000	£3090 to £24,720	Amount to be calculated using methodology in Travel Plans SPD

Highway Services Fees and Charges 2019 Appendix B Commuted Sums for maintenance liabilities (April 2019- March 2020)

	Element	Quantity	Unit	Unit rate (£)
1	Carriageway			
	(a) All construction types maintenance category 1, 2, 3a, 3b and 4a (All road types except 4b below)		m²	26.83
	(b) All construction types, maintenance category 4b (Local access road serving limited numbers of properties carrying only access traffic)		m²	11.91
	(c) Extra over the above for a carriageway junction of any class (single charge per junction)		No	991.87
	(d) Extra over for application of red anti-skid coloured surfacing		m ²	20.02
	(e) Extra over for application of grey anti-skid coloured surfacing		m ²	19.48
	(f) Vehicle containment kerbs		m	83.54
2	Footway and paved areas		m ²	19.04
	(a) All construction types			
3	Street lighting (Standard)			
	(a) Lighting column up to and including 7m in height (standard)		No	1545.37
	(b) Lighting column over 7m and up to 15m in height (standard)		No	1844.69
	Street lighting (Heritage or Enhanced) (chosen from availability list)			
	(d) Lighting column up to and including 7m in height (heritage or enhanced)		No	1554.47
	(e) Lighting column over 7m and up to 15m in height (heritage or enhanced)		No	1855.50
	Street lighting (Bespoke)			By quotation
4	Illuminated street furniture and signs			

	(a) Any item of illuminated apparatus standard	No	725.67
	(b) Any item of illuminated apparatus heritage or enhanced	No	1153.21
5	Non-illuminated street furniture and signs		
	(a) Non illuminated advanced direction sign	No	437.72
	(b) Non-illuminated sign, bollard or other single point feature	No	437.72
	(c) Pedestrian Guardrail	m	47.19
6	Traffic signals (refer to UTMC)		By quotation
7	Traffic calming features		
	(a) Speed table (by surface area)	m²	171.30
	(b) Speed cushion	No	479.70
8	Landscaping		
	(a) Hard landscaping area (treat as footway)	m²	19.70
	(b) Soft landscaping grass	m²	5.84
	(c) Soft landscaping vegetation or shrub bed	m ²	26.83
9	Drainage		
	(a) Individual road or footway gully	No	275.72
	(b) Kerb drainage systems (not including outfall; treat each outfall as a gully)	m	55.84

Notes:

- 1 Other special features, heritage materials, specialist materials or deviation from design standards should be estimated for separately.
- 2 Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) should be estimated for separately on a scheme specific basis.
- 3 Highway Structures / Retaining features should be estimated for separately on a scheme specific basis.
- The liability period used for the calculation of the above rates is 20 years. Other site specific items will also be based on a liability period of 20 years apart from Structures which will have a liability period of 60 years.

Highway Services Fees and Charges 2019/20 Appendix C

Fees for S278 agreements from 1st April 2019

General:

- Fees for preparing legal documentation at cost, varies but significantly higher if using external solicitors, generally in range £750 to £3,500.
- Fees for Highways Officer in preparation of S278 documentation, highways board report, design brief - £2,060 for standard S278 schemes, increased to £3090 for high value, complex agreements. £2,060 for minor S278 agreements.
- Fees for environmental study at cost, varies but £515 for desk top study and £1030 for desk top plus noise surveys.
- All minor S278 agreements require the payment of fees at cost for design check and supervision. An upfront fee of 10% of estimated works costs will be obtained for design checking / inspection fee so that the Highways Engineer is not working at risk. A bond is obtained for the value of the highway works plus 20%.

For works of value up to £100k for a Standard Agreement:

- Consultation fee at cost
- Design fees actual cost incurred up to £10,500
- Supervision fees actual cost up to £8,500
- Supervision fees for statutory undertakers works 3% of cost of Statutory
- Undertaker diversions
- £1000 sum for Leeds City Council carrying out the role of the Principal Designer under the CDM Regs 2015
- Payment of a commuted sum for future maintenance in accordance with the Third Schedule

For works of value above £100k for a Standard Agreement:

- Consultation fee at cost
- Design fees 10.5% cost of the Highway Works
- Supervision fees 8.5% cost of the Highway Works
- Supervision fees for statutory undertakers works 3% of cost of Statutory
- Undertaker diversions
- 1% of cost of Highway Works for Leeds City Council carrying out the role of the Principal Designer under the CDM Regs 2015
- Payment of a commuted sum for future maintenance in accordance with the Third Schedule

Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening



As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Directorate: City Development	Service area: Highways and Transportation
Lead person: Phil Mitchell	Contact number: 0113 3787577

1. Title: ANNUAL REVIEW AND LICENCES	V OF FEES AND CHARGES FOR	R HIGHWAY PERMITS
Is this a:		
Strategy / Policy	Service / Function	X Other
If other, please specify P	roposed annual increase in hig	hway licence fees

2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

The Local Authority (Transport Charges) Regulations 1998 gave the Authority the power to charge for specified functions. The regulations addressed the view that persons benefiting by the issue of licences, permits etc, should pay the cost of the service, rather than this being borne by the community at large via the Council Tax.

The charges have generally increased in line with inflation to ensure that the charges reflect no more than the true cost to the authority in delivering the service.

The proposed increases in charges are to commence at the beginning of a financial year or as defined in the budget circular to take account of inflation and in line with corporate guidelines.

The increases in charges are minimal and will have an insignificant impact across the board with the initial impact, in the majority of cases, being borne by the company providing the service who may or may not pass on the cost to their customers.

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different		X
equality characteristics?		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the		X
policy or proposal?		
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or		X
procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by		
whom?		
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment		X
practices?		
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on		
 Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and 		X
harassment		
Advancing equality of opportunity		X
Fostering good relations		X

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4.**
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5.**

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment. Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance). How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected) * Key findings (think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another) * Actions (think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)	
Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance). • How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected) • Key findings (think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)	4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration
How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected) Key findings (think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another) Actions	
How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected) Key findings (think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another) Actions	Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).
 (think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another) Actions 	How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement
 (think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another) Actions 	Key findings
	(think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

5. If you are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and			
integration you will need to carry out an impact assessment.			
Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:			
Date to complete your impact assessment			
Lead person for your impact assessment			
(Include name and job title)			
6 Governance ownership and approval			

6. Governance, ownership and approval			
Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening			
Name	Job title	Date	
Philip Mitchell	Traffic Manager	25/02/14	
-			

7. Publishing

This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published.

If this screening relates to a **Key Delegated Decision**, **Executive Board**, **full Council** or a **Significant Operational Decision** a copy should be emailed to Corporate Governance and will be published along with the relevant report.

A copy of **all other** screening's should be sent to <u>equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk</u>. For record keeping purposes it will be kept on file (but not published).

Date screening completed	
	25/02/14
If relates to a Key Decision - date sent to	
Corporate Governance	
Any other decision – date sent to Equality Team	25/02/14
(equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk)	
